

Review Article

The role of vitamin D in dental implants osseointegration

Anna-Maria Vesala^{1,2}, Ismene Dontas^{1,2}

¹Laboratory for Research of the Musculoskeletal System "Th. Garofalidis", Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, General Hospital of Athens KAT, Greece; ²Postgraduate Program "Metabolic Bones Diseases", National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Goudi, Athens, Greece

Abstract

Dental implants currently constitute a well-established solution for both functional and aesthetic restoration of complete and partial edentulism. Osseontegration, however, is a complex and multifactorial phenomenon bearing concerns as to its strong association to implant, prosthetics and surgical protocols, as well to patients themselves. This literature review aims to research into potential correlations linking the osseointegration process in dental implants to low levels of vitamin D in dental patients. A number of scientific articles were retrieved from PubMed and MEDLINE databases, using the following key words: "vitamin D deficiency"; "osseointegration"; and "vitamin D AND dental implants". All retrieved studies were limited to those involving human and animal experimental models, and only articles written in English. Nine animal studies investigated the osseointegration process in dental implants that may be attributed to the effects of vitamin D; and five studies used human subjects. However, none of the said studies demonstrated statistically significant differences. A number of patients, especially those with severe deficiency, reported beneficial outcomes following vitamin D systemic administration prior the surgery. In such patients, is important to maintain vitamin D levels, as it ensures bone reconstruction around the implant.

Keywords: Dental implants, Implant failure, Osseointegration, Vitamin D, Vitamin D deficiency

Introduction

Rehabilitation with dental implants is a remarkably successful treatment option in the field of dentistry for partially/completely edentulous patients; their survival rates – accounting to more than 95%¹⁻³ – have been well documented as both evident and solid by several long-term clinical studies. Implant-supported prostheses demonstrate enhanced mastication experience⁴ as well as a strong linkage to oral health-related guality of life (OHRQoL)⁵. Nonetheless, the achievement of long-term survival rates requires implants to osseointegrate, i.e. to directly connect between the bone and the implant surface, in absence of fibrous tissue formation⁶. Osseointegration is, however, a complex and multifactorial phenomenon affecting the implant (surface, material and macroscopic design), the surgical and prosthetic protocol and application thereof (surgical protocol and prosthetic loading), and, of course, the patient undergoing the whole procedure and not just the surgical/medical part of it (diseases, conditions and bone quality/quantity)^{6,7}. To date, albeit dental implants are the treatment of choice, boasting a high level of survival rates, a number of unsuccessful attempts are still witnessed, in the

osseointegration stage of the implants inside the bone^{8.9}. In particular, disruption of osseointegration and periimplantitis hold a prominent place among the most common causes of premature implant loss^{2.8.9}. Early failures manifest when either the quality or the quantity of bone proves insufficient, regardless of the material used or the surgical protocol observed⁸⁻¹⁰.

Vitamin D, a key component in bone metabolism¹¹⁻¹³, is a fat soluble vitamin promoting calcium absorption and regulating phosphorus and calcium homeostasis in tissues. Being of fundamental nature to bones and teeth^{11,13}, vitamin D is crucial to the circulatory system and the brain^{14,15}.

The authors have no conflict of interest. **Corresponding author:** Anna-Maria Vesala, 53, Marathonos St, Vrilissia, P.C. 15235, Athens, Greece **E-mail:** vesala.am@gmail.com **Edited by:** Konstantinos Stathopoulos **Accepted** 15 January 2020 Additionally, studies have found that vitamin D is markedly beneficial to the cardiovascular¹⁶, immune and respiratory systems^{17,18}. Even though the significance of vitamin D and its involvement in bone metabolism have been well documented¹⁹⁻³¹, limited studies have been conducted on the effects of osseointegration of implants in dental patients. Specifically, the majority of them have been performed in animal experimental models^{20-24,27-29,31} and only a small number in humans¹⁵⁻¹⁸. This literature review aims to research into potential correlations linking the osseointegration process in dental implants to low levels of vitamin D in dental patients.

Bone

Bone is a mineralized tissue, and it is the minerals that provide its hardness, which ensures the support frame, provides adhesion of muscles, ligaments, and tendons, and comprises four cell types: osteoblasts; lining cells; osteocytes; and osteoclasts^{32,33}. Its hard composition properties facilitate the protection of the vital organs in the cranial cavity and the thoracic cavity. In its capacity as a considerably powerful organ, bone undergoes an ongoing absorption by osteoclasts combined with new formation by osteoblasts. The osteocyte elaborates in the course of remodeling, due to its mechanosensory activity³⁴⁻³⁸, while the function of lining cells is to connect to other bone lining cells via gap junctions, and send cell processes into canaliculi³⁹. Bone remodeling is an outstandingly dynamic. preserving and high-powered process, anticipating the suitable balance conditions between bone resorption and bone deposition³⁸, which translates into a 5-stage cycle: activation, resorption, reversal, formation and termination; all of which take place over a course lasting 120-200 days in cortical and trabecular bone⁴⁰. Such process is owed to the concerted activities of osteoclasts, osteoblasts, osteocytes and lining cells that form the basic multicellular unit (BMU)⁴¹⁻⁴³. The bone remodeling cycle is critical and indispensable for fracture healing and calcium homeostasis⁴⁴. The applicable balance between bone resorption and osteogenic functions may well be considered as the crucial factor in maintaining ongoing bone mass. Any balance impairment may lead to a number of diseases and conditions in the bone, as in the case of marked absorption by osteoclasts resulting in bone loss and osteoporosis⁴⁵; on the contrary, the opposite may well constitute the etiology of osteopetrosis⁴⁶. Thus, this balance is action-dependent by certain localized and systemic factors encompassing parathyroid hormone (PTH), thyroid hormones, growth hormone, glucocorticoids, calcitriol, sex hormones, insulin-like growth factors (IGFs), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP), tumor growth factor-beta (TGF-beta), prostaglandins, and cytokines⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹.

Vitamin D

Over the last decades, the interest of researchers is particularly highly focused on vitamin D, as it displays numerous actions, notwithstanding those related to bone and metabolism of calcium (Ca)⁵⁰. Vitamin D deficiency has been identified in numerous serious diseases and conditions, e.g. non-insulin-dependent (type II) diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases⁵¹. High-risk groups for vitamin D deficiency include elderly and pediatric populations, expecting mothers, and institutionalized individuals⁵². Nutrition alone may cover only 10% of the Recommended Daily Intake (RDI) of vitamin D⁵³, while the rest is produced from the synthesis of vitamin D by solar activity.

Metabolism, transport and vitamin D receptor

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin which occurs in two forms, D2 (ergocalciferol) and D3 (cholecalciferol) as well as a significant, integral part in the metabolism of Ca and phosphorus (P). Its primary functions include intestinal absorption and renal reabsorption of Ca as well as unmediated involvement in the differentiation of chondrocytes and osteoblasts in the bone formation process. Vitamin D2 can be found in yeast and mushrooms, while vitamin D3 is ingested through nutrition or UVB radiation-composed⁵⁴. Through the latter, the 7-Dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) of the skin is converted to provitamin D3, which in turn is converted to vitamin D3 within 2-3 days by thermal isomerization⁵⁵. In the liver, D3 is subject to hydroxylation in the C25 position of the side chain, assisted by the 25-hydroxylase enzyme (CYP2R1), and composes the 25-hydroxy-vitamin D [25 (OH) D], i.e. the primary circulatory form of the vitamin. The enzyme 25-hydroxylase belongs to the cytochrome P450 (CYP2R1 or CYP27A1) enzymatic superfamily. These proteins catalyze a good number of reactions participating in drug metabolism and cholesterol, steroids and other lipids production. It has been located in tissues other than the liver, such as testicles⁵⁶, dermal fibroblasts and prostate cancer cells⁵⁷. Next, the kidneys form the setting for its conversion, in the renal tubules, from cholecalciferol to calcitriol, which is the D-hormone responsible for all effects previously stated i.e. the highly engaging vitamin D3 attaching to the vitamin D receptor (VDR)56.

Dietary intake sources

The action of vitamin D ingested from food does not differ from that synthesized in the skin from UVB radiation⁵⁴. The main foods that contain vitamin D include salmon, tuna, egg yolk, beef liver, fish oils, and mushrooms; only a small number of foods are high in vitamin D, namely orange juice, breakfast cereals, and margarines^{54,55}.

UVB radiation

UVB radiation is an all-important factor for vitamin D production. The 7-DHC begins to absorb UVB radiation at 260 nm up to 315 nm, while maximum composition observed reaches 295 - 300 nm⁵⁸. Such a small range of solar radiation can differentiate the production of vitamin D according to the geographical area, time of year and presence of clouds or sunshine. Moreover, the extent and type of skin exposed are determining constituents for the amount of vitamin D our body naturally makes. Conversion of 7-DHC to provitamin D3 is 6- to 10-fold more effective in light-colored skins, as melanin antagonizes 7-DHC in the absorption of UVB radiation. The body is protected from excessive vitamin D production, which could result from long-term exposure to sunlight, because only 10-15% of the 7-DHC is converted to provitamin D3. The remainder ends up in inert derivatives, such as tachysterol and lumisterol⁵⁹. Additionally, lighter skin has the ability to produce vitamin D at a lower intensity of solar radiation⁶⁰, because, considered a governing element, it establishes the initial physical vitamin concentration prior to exposure to sunlight. Following UV exposure, individuals with low initial vitamin D levels form vitamin D more rapidly. The use of sunscreen ranks high among the factors regulating the absorption process of vitamin D from the skin; UVBs cannot penetrate the skin to synthesize vitamin D, and exposure of large skin surfaces to sunlight is blocked⁶¹.

Lack of vitamin D in the general population

A few trials estimate that people suffering from reduced or inadequate vitamin D uptake account for more than one billion worldwide^{62,63}. Factors identified in cases of lack or depletion of vitamin D include age, obesity, educational attainment, race, drugs, and sedentary lifestyle combined with lack of exercise⁵⁸. To date, there is no research consensus on the adequate concentrations of vitamin D, as some investigators set the lower limit at 50 nmol/l, while others at 75 or 100 nmol/l64. According to the Endocrine Society, "vitamin insufficiency" is established at concentrations of 25 (OH) D of 21-29 ng/ml, while "vitamin deficiency" is confirmed when concentrations are less than 20 ng/ml⁶⁴. Concentrations of 25 (OH) D <25 nmol/l are considered as "clinical hypovitaminosis", a condition where patients experience pain, fractures, and muscle weakness. In terms of pediatrics, swelling of the joints is the clinical presentation. When the concentration of 25 (OH) D is <15 nmol/l, rickets and "soft bone" disease such as osteomalacia, other than genetically predisposed, may occur in children and adults, respectively⁶². In contrast, a toxic effect is observed when concentrations of 25 (OH) D are >500 nmol/l. This happens when the daily intake of vitamin D is greater than 10,000 IU. Hypervitaminosis not only sets the substrate, but also progresses to cause nausea, anorexia, vomiting, constipation, weight loss, weakness, hyperphosphatemia, and hypercalcemia⁵⁵. According to the Endocrine Society,

concentrations of 25 (OH) D of 75 nmol are considered sufficient, with their "status of sufficiency" being maintained by a daily intake of $1,500 - 2,000 \text{ IU}^{64}$. Based on the guidelines of the Institute of Medicine (IOM), concentrations of 25 (OH) D of 50 nmol/I are advised as sufficient, and the recommended daily intake of vitamin D ranges between 400 and 600 IU.

Vitamin D and oral health

Vitamin D, due to its anti-inflammatory properties, is possibly beneficial both physical – and oral health-wide – it affects the pathogenesis of several diseases in the oral cavity, i.e. periodontitis, via immunomodulation, enhances bone mineral density (BMD), and limits bone resorption⁶⁵.

The 1,25 $(OH)_2 D / VDR$ is a crucial element in the oral epithelium and oral immunity, and is expressed by oral keratinocytes in which the function of the ligand is keratinocytes proliferation-independent. Vitamin D deficiency alone cannot be the sole etiology of precancerous transformation, however, synergistically with other factors, it may well increase the risk of developing oral squamous cell carcinoma⁶⁶.

Materials and methods

The search technique encompassed navigation through and diligent exploration of electronic databases. Applying the advance search option, i.e. from June 1993 up to May 2019, we conducted a search in MEDLINE, improving the accessed articles via Ovid interface. Our key words were primarily oriented towards a composite based on MeSH terms and text words. Our online search was conducted according to the PICO framework in the following fashion: "vitamin D deficiency"; "osseointegration"; and "vitamin D AND dental implants". The inclusion criterias were studies limited to those involving human and animal experimental models, and only articles written in English.

Results

The search in the literature yielded 51 studies. After reviewing their title and summary, 46 of them were found to meet the inclusion criteria and, next, the full-text articles were reviewed. Of these, 37 studies were excluded, as they would no longer meet the inclusion criteria. A total of 14 studies were considered for the literature review, 9 were animal studies and 5 studies used human subjects. The literature review in animals demonstrated that administration of vitamin D can induce bone formation as well as strengthen and support the interaction between bone and implants²⁹. Kelly et al. proved that osseointegration of Ti6Al4V implants in rats can be compromised due to low vitamin D levels²⁰. Working on post-ovariectomy rats, Dvorak et al found that the deficiency may unfavorably affect bone formation around the implant²⁴. Zhou et al. marked an increase in bone assimilation in osteoporotic rats that had been administered

synthetic vitamin D²²; Wu et al., as well, demonstrated increased bone to implant contact in diabetic rats²³. Liu et al. reported that in mice with chronic renal disease, receiving vitamin D could add to the firm fixation of dental implants²⁷. Salomo-Coll et al. used dogs as models and studied how locally applied vitamin D acts on implant surfaces in postextraction sockets following a 12-week period³¹. Locally applied vitamin D may escalate the bone-implant contact percentage by $10\%^{31}$. Cho et al. investigated how bone tissue reacts to anodized titanium implant surfaces coated with a poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) solution mixed with $10,25-(OH)_2D3$) in rabbits, and they reported new bone formation at the implant spirals²¹. In an experimental study in rabbits, implant surfaces coated with $1,25-(OH)_2D3$ were better osseointegrated compared to uncoated implants²⁸.

Clinical dentistry has focused primarily on surgicalprosthetic protocols and implant surfaces for improving osseointegration, despite any patient-associated risk factors^{8-10,26,67}.

In a clinical trial, Alvim-Pereira et al. 2008, found no association between vitamin D receptor and implant failure¹⁹. Bryce et al. 2014, studied the relation between vitamin D deficiency and immediate implant placement, where in this case report, the patient had severe deficiency and this may be related to the failure of the implant²⁵. In a randomized, controlled, double-blind study, Schulze-Spate al. examined the combination of vitamin D3 (5,000 IU) and calcium (600 mg) in new bone formation following sinus lift procedure³⁰. A ten-strong group of subjects received vitamin D and calcium, while a ten-strong group of controls were randomized solely to calcium; a 6-8 months post-surgery histology was conducted³⁰. Although the administration of vitamin D3 delivered increased vitamin D levels with possible favorable outcomes in terms of bone formation, the researchers concluded on the absence of statistically significant difference between the two groups³⁰. According to Mangano et al. 2016⁶⁷, a serious vitamin D deficiency may be related to early implant loss. In fact, implant loss was low (2.2%) in patients with normal vitamin D levels in the blood (> 30 ng/ mL), almost twice as high (3.9%) in patients with inadequate serum levels (10-30 ng/mL) and high (9.0%) in patients with severe deficiencies. However, despite the tendency for an increased incidence of early failure in patients with deficiency, the differences between the three groups were not statistically significant $(P = 0.15)^{67}$. The same study also confirmed that the serum levels of vitamin D in the general population were low: it was found that the rate of patients with insufficient levels was 49.4% and of that, severe deficiency was 2.7%. The number of patients with adequate levels was 47.9%. Administration of vitamin D in the weeks before a dental implant may be useful, especially in patients with severe deficiencies and vitamin D administration should be maintained until the required levels are achieved⁶⁷.

In 2018, the same team of researchers⁶⁸, in a retrospective study of 885 patients, confirms the data

from their previous study. Patients with satisfactory vitamin D levels (>30 ng / mL) reported low failure rates (12/410 patients, 2.9%). The incidence of early failures almost doubled (20/448 patients, 4.4%) in the group of patients with inadequate vitamin D levels (10-30 ng/mL) and was nearly four times higher in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency (<10 ng / mL), which had an early failure rate of 11.1% (3/27 patients). Once again, the study showed an increase in early implant failures in patients with insufficiency, although the difference between the three groups (P = 0.105) were not statistically significant⁶⁸.

Discussion

Low counts of vitamin D have been linked to a high risk of insulin-dependent (type I) diabetes, cardiovascular conditions, cancers/tumor growths, mild cognitive impairment, mood disorders, complications occurring during gestation, immune system disorders, allergies, and even physical weakness⁶⁹⁻⁷². It is well established that vitamin D acts on one of the "standard" tissue-targets; the bones. Vitamin D controls calcium homeostasis by interfering with the absorption of calcium by the intestines, renal calcium re-absorption, and bone calcium metabolism. It has been found that moderate to severe lack of vitamin D in adults has been commonly linked to limited bone mineral content¹². Nowadays, the application of dental implants represents a treatment of choice with excellent outcomes, when it comes to restore function and aesthetics in dental patients^{73,74}. In fact, it is a predictable treatment plan featuring remarkable survival rates that stands high in the decision-making process for the replacement of missing teeth with a fixed or a removable prosthesis^{10,75,76}. According to Bosshardt et al., "osseointegration" is the formation of an unmediated bone-implant interface⁷⁶. In the early healing period, dental implants should be completely homogenized in the bone and, over time, the outcome is a clinically asymptomatic fixation under functional load^{73,77}. Osseointegration depends on several factors such as the surgical and prosthetic protocol, the surgeon's experience and authority, the time of the prosthetic loading, the surface and the material of the implant, and, of course, other patient-related parameters, i.e. bone quality and quantity, and systemic factors⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸. Hong et al. investigated the favorable effects of calcium and vitamin D supplements on bone healing progress by closely monitoring the postoperative recovery of surgically created alveolar sockets in a dog model⁷⁹. Only a few animal studies were identified that aimed to investigate the assimilation of dental implants to the bones and the correlation of vitamin D levels^{20-24,27-29,31}.

Conclusion

Vitamin D systemic administration weeks before undergoing dental implant surgery may be beneficial to patients, especially those suffering from serious lack of this vitamin. Nevertheless, further randomized clinical trials are required to confirm the correlation of vitamin D levels with osseointegration of dental implants.

References

- 1. Becker ST, Beck-Broichsitter BE, Rossmann CM, Behrens E, Jochens A, Wiltfang J. Long-term Survival of Straumann Dental Implants with TPS Surfaces: A Retrospective Study with a Follow-up of 12 to 23 Years. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2016;18(3):480-8.
- Mangano F, Macchi A, Caprioglio A, Sammons RL, Piattelli A, Mangano C. Survival and complication rates of fixed restorations supported by locking-taper implants: a prospective study with 1 to 10 years of follow-up. J Prosthodont. 2014;23(6):434-44.
- Chappuis V, Buser R, Bragger U, Bornstein MM, Salvi GE, Buser D. Long-term outcomes of dental implants with a titanium plasma-sprayed surface: a 20-year prospective case series study in partially edentulous patients. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2013;15(6):780-90.
- van Kampen FM, van der Bilt A, Cune MS, Fontijn-Tekamp FA, Bosman F. Masticatory function with implant-supported overdentures. J Dent Res. 2004;83(9):708-11.
- 5. Emami E, Heydecke G, Rompre PH, de Grandmont P, Feine JS. Impact of implant support for mandibular dentures on satisfaction, oral and general health-related quality of life: a meta-analysis of randomizedcontrolled trials. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2009;20(6):533-44.
- Trindade R, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Current concepts for the biological basis of dental implants: foreign body equilibrium and osseointegration dynamics. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2015;27(2):175-83.
- Jemat A, Ghazali MJ, Razali M, Otsuka Y. Surface Modifications and Their Effects on Titanium Dental Implants. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:791725.
- Chrcanovic BR, Kisch J, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Factors Influencing Early Dental Implant Failures. J Dent Res. 2016;95(9):995-1002.
- Monje A, Aranda L, Diaz KT, Alarcon MA, Bagramian RA, Wang HL, et al. Impact of Maintenance Therapy for the Prevention of Peri-implant Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Dent Res. 2016;95(4):372-9.
- Mangano C, Mangano F, Shibli JA, Ricci M, Sammons RL, Figliuzzi M. Morse taper connection implants supporting "planned" maxillary and mandibular bar-retained overdentures: a 5-year prospective multicenter study. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2011;22(10):1117-24.
- 11. MacLaughlin JA, Anderson RR, Holick MF. Spectral character of sunlight modulates photosynthesis of previtamin D3 and its photoisomers in human skin. Science. 1982;216(4549):1001-3.
- 12. Holick MF. High prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy and implications for health. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81(3):353-73.
- Weaver CM, Gordon CM, Janz KF, Kalkwarf HJ, Lappe JM, Lewis R, et al. The National Osteoporosis Foundation's position statement on peak bone mass development and lifestyle factors: a systematic review and implementation recommendations. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(4):1281-386.
- Santos PP, Rafacho BP, Goncalves Ade F, Jaldin RG, Nascimento TB, Silva MA, et al. Vitamin D induces increased systolic arterial pressure via vascular reactivity and mechanical properties. PLoS One. 2014;9(6):e98895.
- 15. Keeney JT, Butterfield DA. Vitamin D deficiency and Alzheimer disease: Common links. Neurobiol Dis. 2015;84:84-98.
- 16. Papandreou D, Hamid ZT. The Role of Vitamin D in Diabetes and

Cardiovascular Disease: An Updated Review of the Literature. Dis Markers. 2015;2015:580474.

- 17. Thickett DR, Moromizato T, Litonjua AA, Amrein K, Quraishi SA, Lee-Sarwar KA, et al. Association between prehospital vitamin D status and incident acute respiratory failure in critically ill patients: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open Respir Res. 2015;2(1):e000074.
- 18. Schnedl C, Dobnig H, Quraishi SA, McNally JD, Amrein K. Native and active vitamin D in intensive care: who and how we treat is crucially important. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;190(10):1193-4.
- Alvim-Pereira F, Montes CC, Thome G, Olandoski M, Trevilatto PC. Analysis of association of clinical aspects and vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism with dental implant loss. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2008;19(8):786-95.
- Kelly J, Lin A, Wang CJ, Park S, Nishimura I. Vitamin D and bone physiology: demonstration of vitamin D deficiency in an implant osseointegration rat model. J Prosthodont. 2009;18(6):473-8.
- Cho YJ, Heo SJ, Koak JY, Kim SK, Lee SJ, Lee JH. Promotion of osseointegration of anodized titanium implants with a 1alpha,25dihydroxyvitamin D3 submicron particle coating. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2011;26(6):1225-32.
- Zhou C, Li Y, Wang X, Shui X, Hu J. 1,25Dihydroxy vitamin D(3) improves titanium implant osseointegration in osteoporotic rats. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2012;114(5 Suppl):S174-8.
- Wu YY, Yu T, Yang XY, Li F, Ma L, Yang Y, et al. Vitamin D3 and insulin combined treatment promotes titanium implant osseointegration in diabetes mellitus rats. Bone. 2013;52(1):1-8.
- Dvorak G, Fugl A, Watzek G, Tangl S, Pokorny P, Gruber R. Impact of dietary vitamin D on osseointegration in the ovariectomized rat. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012;23(11):1308-13.
- 25. Bryce G, MacBeth N. Vitamin D deficiency as a suspected causative factor in the failure of an immediately placed dental implant: a case report. J R Nav Med Serv. 2014;100(3):328-32.
- 26. Choukroun J, Khoury G, Khoury F, Russe P, Testori T, Komiyama Y, et al. Two neglected biologic risk factors in bone grafting and implantology: high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and low serum vitamin D. J Oral Implantol. 2014;40(1):110-4.
- 27. Liu W, Zhang S, Zhao D, Zou H, Sun N, Liang X, et al. Vitamin D supplementation enhances the fixation of titanium implants in chronic kidney disease mice. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e95689.
- Naito Y, Jimbo R, Bryington MS, Vandeweghe S, Chrcanovic BR, Tovar N, et al. The influence of 1alpha.25-dihydroxyvitamin d3 coating on implant osseointegration in the rabbit tibia. J Oral Maxillofac Res. 2014;5(3):e3.
- Javed F, Malmstrom H, Kellesarian SV, Al-Kheraif AA, Vohra F, Romanos GE. Efficacy of Vitamin D3 Supplementation on Osseointegration of Implants. Implant Dent. 2016;25(2):281-7.
- Schulze-Spate U, Dietrich T, Wu C, Wang K, Hasturk H, Dibart S. Systemic vitamin D supplementation and local bone formation after maxillary sinus augmentation - a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical investigation. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2016;27(6):701-6.
- Salomo-Coll O, Mate-Sanchez de Val JE, Ramirez-Fernandez MP, Hernandez-Alfaro F, Gargallo-Albiol J, Calvo-Guirado JL. Topical applications of vitamin D on implant surface for bone-to-implant contact enhance: a pilot study in dogs part II. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2016;27(7):896-903.
- 32. Buckwalter JA, Glimcher MJ, Cooper RR, Recker R. Bone biology. I: Structure, blood supply, cells, matrix, and mineralization. Instr Course Lect. 1996;45:371-86.
- Downey PA, Siegel MI. Bone biology and the clinical implications for osteoporosis. Phys Ther. 2006;86(1):77-91.
- 34. Clarke B. Normal bone anatomy and physiology. Clin J Am Soc

Nephrol. 2008;3 Suppl 3:S131-9.

- 35. Karsenty G, Kronenberg HM, Settembre C. Genetic control of bone formation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2009;25:629-48.
- Teitelbaum SL. Osteoclasts: what do they do and how do they do it? Am J Pathol. 2007;170(2):427-35.
- 37. Bonewald LF. The amazing osteocyte. J Bone Miner Res. 2011;26(2):229-38.
- Rucci N. Molecular biology of bone remodelling. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. 2008;5(1):49-56.
- Everts V, Delaisse JM, Korper W, Jansen DC, Tigchelaar-Gutter W, Saftig P, et al. The bone lining cell: its role in cleaning Howship's lacunae and initiating bone formation. J Bone Miner Res. 2002;17(1):77-90.
- 40. Kenkre JS, Bassett J. The bone remodelling cycle. Ann Clin Biochem. 2018;55(3):308-27.
- Hauge EM, Qvesel D, Eriksen EF, Mosekilde L, Melsen F. Cancellous bone remodeling occurs in specialized compartments lined by cells expressing osteoblastic markers. J Bone Miner Res. 2001;16(9):1575-82.
- 42. Frost HM. Tetracycline-based histological analysis of bone remodeling. Calcif Tissue Res. 1969;3(3):211-37.
- Andersen TL, Sondergaard TE, Skorzynska KE, Dagnaes-Hansen F, Plesner TL, Hauge EM, et al. A physical mechanism for coupling bone resorption and formation in adult human bone. Am J Pathol. 2009;174(1):239-47.
- 44. Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. Endocr Rev. 2013;34(5):658-90.
- 45. Khosla S, Oursler MJ, Monroe DG. Estrogen and the skeleton. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2012;23(11):576-81.
- Sobacchi C, Schulz A, Coxon FP, Villa A, Helfrich MH. Osteopetrosis: genetics, treatment and new insights into osteoclast function. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2013;9(9):522-36.
- 47. Phan TC, Xu J, Zheng MH. Interaction between osteoblast and osteoclast: impact in bone disease. Histol Histopathol. 2004;19(4):1325-44.
- Crockett JC, Mellis DJ, Scott DI, Helfrich MH. New knowledge on critical osteoclast formation and activation pathways from study of rare genetic diseases of osteoclasts: focus on the RANK/RANKL axis. Osteoporos Int. 2011;22(1):1-20.
- Hadjidakis DJ, Androulakis, II. Bone remodeling. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2006;1092:385-96.
- 50. Jones G. Metabolism and biomarkers of vitamin D. Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl. 2012;243:7-13.
- Rosen CJ, Adams JS, Bikle DD, Black DM, Demay MB, Manson JE, et al. The nonskeletal effects of vitamin D: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. Endocr Rev. 2012;33(3):456-92.
- 52. van Schoor NM, Lips P. Worldwide vitamin D status. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;25(4):671-80.
- 53. Dawodu A, Wagner CL. Mother-child vitamin D deficiency: an international perspective. Arch Dis Child. 2007;92(9):737-40.
- 54. Lips P. Vitamin D physiology. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2006; 92(1):4-8.
- 55. Lieben L, Carmeliet G, Masuyama R. Calcemic actions of vitamin D: effects on the intestine, kidney and bone. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;25(4):561-72.
- Cheng JB, Levine MA, Bell NH, Mangelsdorf DJ, Russell DW. Genetic evidence that the human CYP2R1 enzyme is a key vitamin D 25-hydroxylase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(20):7711-5.
- Ellfolk M, Norlin M, Gyllensten K, Wikvall K. Regulation of human vitamin D(3) 25-hydroxylases in dermal fibroblasts and prostate cancer LNCaP cells. Mol Pharmacol. 2009;75(6):1392-9.
- 58. Tsiaras WG, Weinstock MA. Factors influencing vitamin D status. Acta

Derm Venereol. 2011;91(2):115-24.

- 59. Maddodi N, Jayanthy A, Setaluri V. Shining light on skin pigmentation: the darker and the brighter side of effects of UV radiation. Photochem Photobiol. 2012;88(5):1075-82.
- 60. Reeder AI, Jopson JA, Gray AR. "Prescribing sunshine": a national, cross-sectional survey of 1,089 New Zealand general practitioners regarding their sun exposure and vitamin D perceptions, and advice provided to patients. BMC Fam Pract. 2012;13:85.
- 61. Holick MF. Deficiency of sunlight and vitamin D. BMJ. 2008;336(7657):1318-9.
- 62. Pettifor JM, Prentice A. The role of vitamin D in paediatric bone health. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;25(4):573-84.
- Mansoor S, Habib A, Ghani F, Fatmi Z, Badruddin S, Mansoor S, et al. Prevalence and significance of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency among apparently healthy adults. Clin Biochem. 2010;43(18):1431-5.
- 64. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(7):1911-30.
- 65. Schwalfenberg GK. A review of the critical role of vitamin D in the functioning of the immune system and the clinical implications of vitamin D deficiency. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2011;55(1):96-108.
- 66. Yuan A, Woo SB. Adverse drug events in the oral cavity. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015;119(1):35-47.
- 67. Mangano F, Mortellaro C, Mangano N, Mangano C. Is Low Serum Vitamin D Associated with Early Dental Implant Failure? A Retrospective Evaluation on 1625 Implants Placed in 822 Patients. Mediators Inflamm. 2016;2016:5319718.
- Guido Mangano F, Ghertasi Oskouei S, Paz A, Mangano N, Mangano C. Low serum vitamin D and early dental implant failure: Is there a connection? A retrospective clinical study on 1740 implants placed in 885 patients. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2018;12(3):174-82.
- 69. Holick MF. Nutrition: D-iabetes and D-eath D-efying vitamin D. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2012;8(7):388-90.
- Holick MF. Vitamin D: extraskeletal health. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2012;38(1):141-60.
- Hossein-nezhad A, Holick MF. Optimize dietary intake of vitamin D: an epigenetic perspective. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2012;15(6):567-79.
- 72. Smit E, Crespo CJ, Michael Y, Ramirez-Marrero FA, Brodowicz GR, Bartlett S, et al. The effect of vitamin D and frailty on mortality among non-institutionalized US older adults. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012;66(9):1024-8.
- Chrcanovic BR, Kisch J, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. A retrospective study on clinical and radiological outcomes of oral implants in patients followed up for a minimum of 20 years. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2018;20(2):199-207.
- 74. Mangano FG, Mastrangelo P, Luongo F, Blay A, Tunchel S, Mangano C. Aesthetic outcome of immediately restored single implants placed in extraction sockets and healed sites of the anterior maxilla: a retrospective study on 103 patients with 3 years of follow-up. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2017;28(3):272-82.
- Mangano C, laculli F, Piattelli A, Mangano F. Fixed restorations supported by Morse-taper connection implants: a retrospective clinical study with 10-20 years of follow-up. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2015;26(10):1229-36.
- Bosshardt DD, Chappuis V, Buser D. Osseointegration of titanium, titanium alloy and zirconia dental implants: current knowledge and open questions. Periodontol 2000. 2017;73(1):22-40.
- 77. Insua A, Monje A, Wang HL, Miron RJ. Basis of bone metabolism

around dental implants during osseointegration and peri-implant bone loss. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2017;105(7):2075-89.

 Bechara S, Kubilius R, Veronesi G, Pires JT, Shibli JA, Mangano FG. Short (6-mm) dental implants versus sinus floor elevation and placement of longer (>/=10-mm) dental implants: a randomized controlled trial with a 3-year follow-up. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2017;28(9):1097-107.

79. Hong HH, Chou TA, Yang JC, Chang CJ. The potential effects of cholecalciferol on bone regeneration in dogs. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012;23(10):1187-92.